## RFP #09-01-2023 2023 ECF MIRR Question and Answers

Question 1: Page 13: "Army Command and US Coast Guard Command at Port Canaveral": Please clarify the buildings, boundaries and scope.

Answer 1: See map of facility/boundaries.



Question 2: Page 14: C. Emergency Preparedness Exercise and After Action Report (Due March 31, 2025): Who is responsible? What are the deliverables? There are no details further in the RFP tasks. Answer 2: The ECFRPC will complete this activity. The Contractor is not being asked to include this as a task in their RFP. Information from the MIRR process and findings will help guide the scope of the exercise for the ECFRPC.

Question 3: Page 18 - TASK 5: Does this require reviewing and aligning COOPs for the installation or the municipalities or both?

Answer 3: Where there are vulnerabilities identified, the appropriate existing asset owner COOPs should be reviewed for mission / task alignment opportunities.

Question 4: Page 21: 1. Statement of qualifications (E): Does the ECFRPC expect a GIS deliverable or hydrologic modeling? At this point in the planning process and in other MIRRs this phase typically produces GIS maps and info.

Answer 4: While the ECFRPC does not require hydrologic modeling as a deliverable, we do expect the consultant to demonstrate established hydrologic modeling experience in order to explore project concepts related to flooding, water flows, and strategies to reduce vulnerabilities. We do expect to receive a GIS deliverable.

Question 5: Page 22: Is a cover/back cover allowed? Do covers/tabs count toward pages limits? Answer 5: Yes, covers and tabs are allowed and do not count towards page limits.

Question 6: Page 26: Understanding that the Cost Proposal is a separate file from the overall proposal, should the Cost Proposal be delivered in a separate envelope?

Answer 6: Please provide the cost proposal in a sealed envelope with the proposal.

Question 7: Page 26: Are there any requirements for the Cost Proposal (i.e. Cover letter, hours breakdown by task, or other specific format requirement)?

Answer 7: Please provide cost by Task. At the contracting stage, more information may be required.

Question 8: Page 32: Attachment A Contractor References requests "PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW ON ALL CONTRACT PROJECT WORK FOR THE PAST THREE (3) YEARS TO DATE." It is our understanding that we will provide 6 project examples for this form. It is not comprehensive of all contract project work to date. Will 6 project examples meet this requirement?

Answer: Please provide all RELEVENT project work for the past 3 years. Space for 6 projects is provided. Add additional pages if necessary.

Question 9: Would you please clarify the level of detail required in the Cost Proposal for expenses, profits, and fees?

Answer: Please provide cost by Task. At the contracting stage, more information may be required.

Question 10: Page 14: "At various points, the selected Contractor will meet with the project team selected for RFP #09-01-2023. This Contractor will be performing a separate piece of the larger MIRR process to work towards the development of formal partnership agreements among the military installations of east central Florida and the surrounding communities. These meetings will be for basic coordination and discussion of how the community partnership agreements could be integrated into the implementation of the MIRR."

Is this an incorrect reference to the RFP number? As it currently states, essentially the selected Contractor will be meeting with its own project team (this RFP is #09-01-2023). Is there a separate RFP/contract for the development of formal partnership agreements among the military installations of east central Florida and the surrounding communities?

Please disregard this paragraph. A change will be made to the RFP to remove this and will be posted to the website.

Question 11: Have the military installations included in this study effort completed their internal vulnerability and risk assessments using the Defense Climate Assessment Tool (DCAT)? If so, will they provide results to support this study effort?

This is unknown at this time. A request has been made.

Question 12: Have the military installations included in this study effort completed any Water and Energy Resilience Studies? If so, can they share relevant information to support this study effort? This is unknown at this time.

Question 13: Have the military installations updated their master installation plans to include a resilience element? If so, can they share relevant information to support this study effort?

This is unknown at this time.

Question 14: Has the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council yet established the Policy and Technical Committees referenced in the RFP? If so, can you please provide the membership list? If not, does the Council expect the Consultant to provide guidance to selection of members?

No, the committee(s) have not been established to date. The committee will be established by ECFRPC and DoD OLDCC.

Question 15: What Continuity of Operations Plans (COOP) currently exist within the east central Florida region?

It is unknown which stakeholders/asset owners have current COOP plans.