

Date	February 20, 2017
Project	East Central Florida Corridor Evaluation Study
Location	Hanson Professional Services Offices 701 N. Maitland Blvd, Suite 100 Maitland, FL 32751
Purpose	Partner outreach and engagement

ATTENDEES

- Don Whyte, Deseret Ranch – Vice President, Planning, Deseret Cattle & Citrus.
- John Zielinski, FDOT
- Jeff Bowen, Hanson
- Mansoor Khuwaja, Hanson
- Lori Sellers, AICP, Hanson

MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

1. Introductions of the Project Team.
2. Don Whyte - Deseret Ranch (DR)
3. Plan with recognition it won't look like that in the future
4. Preserve ROW for political will to fulfill surface transportation needs
5. CFX not allowed to toll facilities in Brevard; won't building in Brevard
6. Bill proposed to add Brevard County to the CFX Board; DR visiting with CFX Board members to encourage Brevard to be added
7. Tolls are not currently allowed to be collected in the County
8. View bill and its status here: <http://public.lobbytools.com/index.cfm?type=bills&id=43050>
9. Vote by Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee: 15 yea, 0 nay
10. <http://www.floridatoday.com/story/news/local/2017/02/13/brevard-seeks-seat-central-fla-expressway-authority/97819652/>
11. "resolution is not designed to add toll roads within Brevard County"
12. DR working with Laura Kelly, CFX
13. John noted Laura Kelly helped with ECFCES scope before her current position
14. DR considering land gift if FDOT commits to build the road; will not contribute money for construction
15. Comment that northern connection for I at SR 520 - too much interaction at the connection
16. CFX just hired 4 consultants to conduct studies on new corridors
17. CFX will be meeting with their consultants early April 2017; ECFCES team will be meeting around that time



Meeting Summary

18. Activities outside of the sector plan
19. DR doesn't believe they need to do a sector plan on their land holdings in Brevard County, but do want to mitigate acre for acre if the road starts within five (5) years
20. Proposed to do a 2-lane road with intersections; begins the iterative process while preserving the ROW and planning for future expansion
21. SR 520 could be arterial, and the I connection to SR 528 would be a system to system connection
22. Corridor I is described to connect to SR 50
23. Northeast District is under contract to Tavistock through sister company, Suburban Land Reserve
24. Geometry of corridor H is tight in certain areas; hard to accommodate arterial and expressway on Tavistock's land holdings
25. Farmland Reserve = International Corporate Park
26. Interchange at ICP being shifted
27. Envelop large enough for 3 rail lines to go south of ICP interchange, north of Dallas Blvd
28. DR will be very supportive of concept corridor feasibility studies as long as the area west of I, east of Narcoossee currently studied by OCX (Kimley-Horn) are prioritized first
29. NE Connector Expressway
30. Narcoossee was noted as a candidate for an alternative access facility by Osceola County in last Project Advisory Group meeting
31. Example ROW preservation agreements:
32. UDOT - conditional gifts - ROW donation with agreement to build by others within X timeframe
33. Polk County Parkway - example of OCX doing iterative process by building arterial first and then improving to expressway
34. All of Corridor I could go on DR property which would create opportunity for system to system interchange with SR 408 / SR 50 / SR 528
35. Mansoor provided details of the SR 408 / SR 50 PD&E meeting
36. PD&E will move along in 10 days from public meeting (February 15th)
37. Florida's Turnpike Enterprise taking SR 50 PD&E over
38. John noted that the Central Polk Parkway to I-4 connection is no longer an active project

ACTION ITEMS

1. Develop meeting summary.
2. Continue coordination.